UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,092	04/08/2004	Francisco Juarez	NOVE100041000	8981
22891 7590 10/28/2008 LAW OFFICE OF DELIO & PETERSON, LLC. 121 WHITNEY AVENUE 3RD FLLOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, MICHAEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,092	JUAREZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL G. MILLER	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replace. Beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Note: The strong stron	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. Dwance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 20-28 is/are pending in a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 20-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application -	

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 24 JUL 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- 3. Applicant's first argument is that '287 does not teach a CVD process wherein excess precursor remains in the chamber at the time of enlarging. Examiner points to '535 PG0036 as the teaching in the combined references which teaches this concept. Specific mention of purging of residual gases is made therein.
- 4. Applicant's second argument is that '287 does not teach a CVD process in which the reaction chamber is enlarged to reduce the concentration of the first precursor. Examiner refers to the discussion of the ideal gas law in the previous Office Action, which explains how the reduction of the concentration by enlarging of the chamber is inherent.
- 5. Applicant's third argument is that '535 does not teach a mechanism for changing the chamber volume. Examiner points to '287 Column 3 Lines 53-65 for this functionality.

Art Unit: 1792

Examiner maintains all previous grounds of rejection in the previous Office
 Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. Claims 1-10 and 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santiago et al (U.S. Patent 6,716,287, hereinafter '287) in view of Luo et al (U.S. PAPub 2003/0059535, hereinafter '535).
 - a. Claim 1 '287 teaches a reactor comprising a reactor chamber with a first volume, a pedestal to secure a substrate within the chamber using an electrostatic chuck, introducing a first gas into the chamber at this volume and exposing the substrate to this gas to deposit a first layer on the substrate, and lowering the pedestal to facilitate removal of the substrate while removing undeposited first gas from the chamber to end deposition (Column 3 Lines 8-24 and 53-65).

Art Unit: 1792

b. '287 does not explicitly teach that the first gas is a precursor which reacts with the surface to form the first layer. '287 also does not explicitly teach that enlarging the reaction chamber reduces the concentration of the first gas.

- c. '535 teaches cyclical ALD deposition of materials wherein a first reactive gas is passed into a chamber to react with a substrate and form a first layer.

 After the first layer is formed, the gas flow is stopped and unreacted first reactive gas is removed from the chamber via vacuum pump or purge operations (PG 0036). '535 discusses controlling process parameters to control the thickness of the reactively deposited film, including chamber pressure (PG 0031).
- d. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the apparatus of '287 with the technique of '535. Both teachings wish to deposit coatings onto substrates; '535 teaches that controlling chamber pressure can control the thickness of the deposited layers; '287 teaches a means of controlling the chamber pressure by raising and lowering the pedestal (by the ideal gas law, PV = nRT, if the volume V above the substrate increases, the pressure P of the gas above the substrate must inherently decrease for constant nRT, which exists because the gas flow is stopped before expansion. Further, by the ideal gas law, P/RT = n/V, if the volume above the substrate increases, the concentration of the gas n/V above the substrate must inherently decrease for constant P/RT, which exists because the gas flow is stopped before expansion).
- 10. Claim 2 the second precursor is taught in '535 PG 0036.

Art Unit: 1792

11. Claim 3-4 – purging and pumping are taught in '535 PG 0036.

- 12. Claims 5-7 this configuration is taught in '535 PG 0031.
- 13. Claim 8 This is a combination of Claims 1, 2 and 5 and is rejected on those grounds.
- 14. Claims 9-10 this configuration is taught in '535 PG 0031.
- 15. Claims 20-22 '287 Column 3 Lines 37-43 discloses a showerhead with a perforated center section through which the process gases are dispersed into the chamber.
- 16. Claims 23 and 25 '535 PG 0036 teaches using ammonia to deposit nitrogen. Nitrogen is distinct from ammonia.
- 17. Claims 24 and 26 '535 PG 0036 teaches using hexachlorodisilane (HCD) to deposit silicon. Silicon is distinct from HCD.
- 18. Claims 27 and 28 '287 teaches a flow restricting ring which consists of a side wall with lower chamfered corners. The upper corners of the pedestal proximate this side wall are also chamfered to define a gas flow orifice between the side wall and the pedestal. The pedestal does not contact the outer walls of the chamber but occupies a majority of the width of same chamber in cross-section. (Column 4 Lines 21-29, Figures 1 and 2).

Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1792

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL G. MILLER whose telephone number is (571)270-1861. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Miller/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

> /Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792